

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE June Cline 5952 10/711,953 BUR920040122US1 10/15/2004 **EXAMINER** 04/05/2006 30449 7590 SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS CHEN, ERIC BRICE 22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY 12110 1765

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/711,953	CLINE ET AL.
Examiner ·	Art Unit
Eric B. Chen	1765

	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric B. Chen	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14-16. Claim(s) objected to: 3-11.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,12 and 13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	•		
	HAT	MIEG. NOFTON MY PATEIT ENAN	MINMA
	1 1/1 -		

100

Continuation of 11. Applicants argue that the applied references do not teach or suggest "a sidewall of the bottom portion of the hard mask opening is more vertical than that corresponding to a trench of the first plurality of deep trenches" (Applicants Remarks, page 9), filed Mar. 13, 2006. However, Flanner discloses that faceting in the hardmask (104) enlarges the via/trench (column 4, lines 56-60; Figure 4). In other words, there is a suggestion in Flanner that a non-faceted (or vertical profile) is desireable because this feature provides the benefit preserving the critical dimension of the via/trench. Moreover, Liu discloses that the hardmask profile can be controlled by varying etching parameters (column 5, lines 22-48).

EBC March 22, 2006